IV. Remarks upon an Antient Date, over a Gate-way, near the Cathedral, at Worcester. By John Ward, Rhet. Prof. Gresh. and F. R. S.

PON the 27th of February last, I had the Honour to lay before this Society a Paper, containing some Remarks upon an antient Date, carved in Wood, that was found at Widgel Hall near Bunting ford in Hertfordshire, with the Characters \$16; which had been read 1016, supposed to be mixed Numbers, the 99 Roman, and the two others Arabian or Indian, as they are indifferently called. This led me to confider two other Dates of the like Kind, formerly published in the Philosophical Transactions; one found at Helmdon Northamptonshire, in mixed Characters expressing, as was thought, 99 133; and the other at Colchester, said to denote the Year 1090, wholly in Arabian Figures. But upon fearching into the Origin of those Figures, and the Time when they were first brought into these Parts of the World, I could meet with no Examples of them in any Manuscripts, before some Copies of Johannes de Sacro Bosco (mentioned by Dr. Wallis) who died in the Year 1256, which was 123 Years after the latest of the three Dates abovementioned. As it could not therefore but feem very strange, that Workmen should have made Use of those Figures for fuch common Purposes, so long before they appear in the Writings of the Learned; so upon

1

a closer Examination, and further Inquiry, I found there was no Reason from any of these Dates to suppose, it was really true in Fact. For the Helmdon Date instead of D133, should, as I then shewed, be read D233; the Colchester Date 1490, instead of 1090; and that at Widgel Hall has no Arabian Figures in it, the Characters 1 and 6 not being Numbers, but the initial Letters of two proper Names I G, in the usual Form of those Letters in that Age.

But there has been very lately read before this Society, an Account of a Date at Worcester, more antient than any of the three former; namely 010, or 97v, in which the Unite is a Roman Numeral, and the other two are taken for Indian Figures. I observed in my former Paper, that such Mixtures were fometimes found in antient Numbers; tho' in what Manner they were fo used, I did not then explain, but for Brevity contented myself with refering to the Algebra of Dr. Wallis, a Book fo very well known. The Doctor thought it necessary to take Notice of this, in Order to account for his Way of reading the Helmdon Date, in which the 99 only is a Roman Numeral. And I had myself met with a few Instances of it in Dr. Mead's Manuscript of Boethius, as ccc29 and Dcc68, where the Hundreds are numeral Letters, and both the Decimals and Unites Arabian Figures a. But 'tis observable, this is not done promiscuously, but the largest Numbers are always Letters, and the lesser, Figures; as in the Helmdon Date. And Mabillon has observed, that in a curious manuscript Copy of Thomas a Kem-

<sup>2</sup> De Arith. Lib. II.

pis, writen in the fifteenth Century, some of the Pages are so numbered a. Which Method, so far as appears, was always attended to, and never in any one Instance inverted. So that this Worcester Date, which has a Roman Numeral in the Place of Unites, and the two preceding Characters are supposed to be Indian Figures, is not only without Example, but directly contrary to all other Instances of such mixed Numbers. Which Consideration alone might be a sufficient Ground to think, there must be some Mistake in the Reading.

But the middle Figure, taken for a Seven, is as remarkable; which turning towards the left Hand, forms two obtuse Angles, one above, and the other below. This Shape of the Seven, I believe, was never feen before, and feems by no means to fuit that Age. In the Specimen of the Figures taken from Johannes de Sacro Bosco, by Dr. Wallis, which may be seen in the Table annexed to my former Paper [See TAB. II. Fig. 1.7 the Figure Seven is made in this Form  $\Lambda$ , like the two Legs of an isosceles Triangle. Roger Bacon's Calendar, dated 1292, there is only this Variation; that the Leg to the left Hand is somewhat shortened, as will appear likewise by the same And this Form continued till Printing was Table. introduced among us; as is evident from Caxton's Polychronicon, and other Books printed about that Time. Nor do I find it till later Times in any other Shape; unless that in Bishop Beverege's Table of Indian Figures, the two Legs of our antient Seven are drawn parallel, and arched at the Top, in this

manner 1, instead of meeting in an Angle a; and Planudes, a Greek Writer, has kept the true Arabian Form v, like the Roman Five, which the Europeans inverted. [See TAB. II. Fig. 1] The last Alteration this Figure received among us, was by raising the shorter Leg horizontally. But no Instance of it parallel to this in the Worcester Date, or any Thing like it, has before appeared. As there feems therefore no Reason to suppose it a Seven; so I think a probable Conjecture may be offered, what it was designed for, and that is, the Roman Numeral Ten, which was made in this Form, like an X; to which Character, in our old square Hand, this supposed Seven ? would very well agree, by supplying only the two extreme Parts to the right Hand, in this Manner X, which may easily be thought to have been decayed, and worn away by length of Time.

As there is no Reason to take the middle Character for a Seven, so neither is there any to suppose the first was intended for a Nine, being thus placed before two Roman Numerals, as I take them both to be. It has indeed some Similitude with that Figure; but that is nothing more, than what was antiently, and still is, common to the Letter of in that Hand, which resembles a double of, with an oblique Stroke turned inwards from the Bottom of that to the right Hand; so that if the other to the lest be taken away, that which remains will appear in this Form of, like what is here called a Nine. And every one knows, who has any Acquaintance with antient Inscriptions, that Letters frequently perish in this Manner, one Part before another.

Upon these Suppositions the true Reading would be MXV. But fince the old Date is now destroyed. and modern Figures put in its Place, this must remain uncertain. And I can't but think, the former Characters must have been very dark and obscure, for the following Reasons. There is, as I am informed, a Tower over this Gate, of which a curious and learned Gentleman, who lives very near it a, has lately given some Account, in a Treatise entitled. A Survey of the Cathedral Church of Worcester. He fais, it is "commonly called King John's " Tower, and faid by some to be built by him: " but it was much more antient, having in the Front " of it the Statues of King Edgar, and his two " Queens, Ethelfleda and Ethelfrida; and the " Street it leads into, is called in feveral Writings. " Edgar-street" b. Could there be any Room for its being ever supposed to have been built by King John, while this Date was plain and clear? Or would the Author of the Survey have contented himself with only faying, it was much more antient; when he could so easily have given us the Year, had he been fatisfied with the Reading? King Edgar had been a great Benefactor to the cathedral Church at Worcester, and is faid to have given to it 300 Hides of Land c; which fome compute at fo many 100 Acres, but my Lord Coke fais, an Hide contains no certain Number. Edgar died in 975, but his Queen Ethelfrida survived him several Years. And as it is not unusual, in Order to perpetuate the Memory

Dr. William Thomas. Deg. 7. See likewise Hearne's Presace to Heming's Chartularium, in the Frontispiece of which Treatise is a Draught of those three Figures. C. Account of the Bishops of Worcester. By Dr. William Thomas,

of public Benefactors, to erect Statues and other Monuments of them, after they are dead; it might be so in this Case, and the Street receive its Name (for some Time at least) from this Building, like our Ludgate-street. But tho the precise Year of this Date can't, I fear, now be determined with certainty; it is sufficient to have shewn, that neither the Order of the Characters, their Shape, nor the oldest Examples of Arabian or Indian Figures, any where found, do in the least countenance the Reading given to it; but, on the contrary, all of them afford the

highest Probability, that it can't be genuine.

I beg leave only to add, that two learned and ingenious Gentlemen of this Society, Roger Gale and James West Esquires, to whose Judgment I would pay a due Regard, were pleased to tell me, they thought the two first Characters, taken for a Nine and a Seven, might probably have been nothing but an (3); which will bring the Date to 1005, ten Years nearer the Time of Edgar. My only Difficulty as to that Reading is, that the w would then have two oblique Strokes prolonged from the Bottom, one in the Middle, besides the other usual one towards the right Hand, which I do not remember ever to have met with. But as this Inacuracy might arise from the Obscurity of the Character, or the Imagination of its being two Arabian Figures; I leave it to the Curious to judge either way, as they please, both Sentiments equally supposing the original Characters of this Date must have been Roman Numerals.

I should not have enquired farther into this Subject upon the present Occasion, but that I apprehend it to be a Matter of some Consequence, especially with Relation to Manuscripts. A Copy, for Instance, of some antient Author, writen in the Year 1375, and dated in Arabian Figures, by changing only the 3 into a Cypher, may be carried back three hundred Years; or by making it a Nine, and taking out the 1, may be raised still a Century higher, to 975, the supposed Year of the Worcester Date. And those, who are conversant with Manuscripts, are fenfible, that the Age of them can't always be determined barely by the Hand. Since therefore Arabian Figures are in most Cases much more easily falsisied, than Roman Numerals; I humbly presume, too great Caution cannot be used, in admiting any Instances of them more early, than have been yet discovered, but upon very clear and sufficient Evidence.

## A TABLE OF CHARACTERS.

Fig.1.	unus M <sup>9</sup>	duc <b>521</b>	7.	90	quinque GC	Ç	FIL	છ	novem	87
Tyro and Seneca's Notes:	) primus	secundus <b>S</b> S	tertius TL	quarzas Azz	gantus 97	32.00is	septimus Su-	octavus O		decimus Gruters
	semel S:	bis 3	ter L	quater <b>A1</b>	qui <b>nquies</b> 9	sexies F	septies SE	octies ©	nonies ၹ	1 /
Boethius's apices.	{ I	ত	ů	ác.	4	Ъ	$\Lambda$	8	9" G	B & Doctor Meads (Manuferipe
Small Greek letters	$\{\alpha$	$\beta$	y	$\mathcal{O}^{L}$	E	G	ζ	Ŋ	9	ľ
Modern India figures	n{ q	Z	$\mathcal{E}$	8	У	3	9	7	4	9. Tavernier Lu.1. chap. 2
Arabic figures	· 1	h	'n	۲	0	4	V	Λ	9	. Manufcripts
Figures of Io de jacro bojco.	{ <i>I</i>	7	3	Q	4	6	Λ	8	9	10
Figures of— Max. Planude		þ	μ	۴	$\mathscr{G}$	4	V	Λ	9	10 }-Doccor Wallis.
Figures in Rog. Bacons Calendar	{ 1	7	.3	2	G	6	Λ	8	9	10 The Cotton.
Modern fig.	I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

## THE HELMDON DATE.

